

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

(Agreed Order Revoking Supervised Release); *see also* ECF No. 190 (Amended Probation Form 12C). The Probation Officer stated that continued residence at the halfway house would be in Defendant's best interest, as it provides an opportunity for Defendant to operate in a more structured environment as he transitions into the final four and a half years of less-structured supervised release remaining on his post-revocation sentence.

Based on this testimony, Judge Farrer concluded that continued imposition of a condition requiring residence in a halfway house was warranted, and thus recommended that Defendant's motion to modify the conditions of his release be denied. *See* ECF No. 225 at 2 (citing FED. R. CRIM. P. 32.1(c); 18 U.S.C. § 3583; U.S.S.G §5.D1.1 & 5D1.3(b) (2001); ECF No. 202 (Agreed Order Revoking Supervised Release)).

DISCUSSION

Any party who desires to object to a Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations must serve and file his or her written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the findings and recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Magistrate Judge's recommendation was mailed by certified mail on October 12, 2023, and received on October 17, 2023. ECF Nos. 225, 226. No objections have been filed.

Because no party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court need not conduct a *de novo* review. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.").

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and finds it to be neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989). Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's recommendation, and Defendant Willie

D. Fields's *pro se* motion to modify the conditions of his supervised release (ECF No. 220) is **DENIED**.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation, and Defendant Willie D. Fields' *pro se* motion to modify the conditions of his supervised release (ECF No. 220) is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this November 6, 2023.



XAVIER RODRIGUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE